

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/586,868	06/05/2000	Gordon Caruk	0100.0000430	7484	
23418	7590 03/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			KING, J	KING, JUSTIN	
222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2111		
		DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/586,868	CARUK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Justin I. King	2111	

	Justin I. King	2111	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amenda condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearmentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, al fee) in compliance with 37 CFF e reply must be filed within one of	which places the apple 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in est for Continued
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun chortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time periodenance. 	.37 must be filed within two mont FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	hs of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		·	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1,3-6, 8-15 and 17-</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	-20 would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely	filed
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,3-6,8-15,17-20 and 40. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16, 21-39 and 41-43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ w ided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>Examiner maintains the rejections on record.</u>	does NOT place the application	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☑ Other: Note affached PTロレー413 B [nf	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I Levureu Summary,	Vo(s)	→ ·
	A	1804	
	SIPEDU.	MARK H. RINEHART	\sim
	TECH	ISORY PATENT EXAMI NOLOGY CENTER 210	INER
S. Patent and Trademark Office	3.010	ALCOHOL FUNDA STO	9

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/586,868	CARUK ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Justin I. King	2111
All Participants:	Status of Application: After	er Final
(1) Justin I. King (USPTO Personnel).	(3)	
(2) <u>Themi Anagnos (Reg. No. 47,388)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 March 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-43		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.	-	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
JUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The proposed un-entered amendment would place claims 1, 3-6, 8-15, and 17-20 in the condition of allowance if entered. The proposed un-entered amendment would place claim 16 rejected under 112 2nd if entered. Applicant rejected Examiner's suggestion to expedite the prosecution by amending the claim 16 and canceling the claims 21-39 and 41-43, which remained rejected for grounds as of the record.